

**SECRET**

ILLEGIB

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Tuesday - 8 February 1955

1. The Comptroller, Mr. Saunders, and Budget Officer, [redacted] and I met this morning with Gordon Nease, of the staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, as has been customary in the past to inform Mr. Nease of the placement of the CIA budget. Mr. Nease stated that he had not yet received any Committee request for the information, but wished to have it available if such request were forthcoming. He has had no indication as to what type of hearing, if any, CIA may have on its budget, but felt that the hearings would be delayed until the completion of House action. He asked that we continue to keep him advised of any major change in the budget which the House might make, which we agreed to do.

25X1

Mr. Saunders raised the possibility that CIA might desire to put all its budget on a "no year" basis, and Mr. Nease thought that that would prove to be extremely difficult insofar as securing Committee approval is concerned.

2. In the course of our discussion with Mr. Nease, we informed him of the possibility that we might seek funds for the construction of a new CIA building. The comments which Mr. Nease made in response to our request for his thoughts in this matter were purely his personal thoughts and did not reflect any direct information which Mr. Nease might have. It was

25X1

It was Mr. Nease's opinion that we should go for direct appropriation, as he could see no advantage, and considerable disadvantage, to attempting appropriation through lease-purchase. He stressed his concurrence in our thought that we should attempt to seek an open appropriation, pointing out that our greatest criticism on Capitol Hill arose out of charges that no one knew what CIA was doing, and that nothing could be

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

gained by hiding the building appropriation if there were not overriding security considerations. Mr. Nease did not appear to disapprove of the possibility that the appropriation for the building might come from already appropriated funds which were about to lapse. He pointed out that this would merely require the use of a simple phrase in the construction bill somewhat along the lines that "for the purpose of constructing a building, CIA is authorized to expend \$ \_\_\_\_\_ from funds already appropriated to it." Mr. Nease pointed out that this would have the added advantage of letting people know that we did not utilize all the money appropriated to us, but in fact turned some back as savings. He also pointed out that the



(cc 2 DD/S)

[Redacted]